

NOTICE: All slip opinions and orders are subject to formal revision and are superseded by the advance sheets and bound volumes of the Official Reports. If you find a typographical error or other formal error, please notify the Reporter of Decisions, Supreme Judicial Court, John Adams Courthouse, 1 Pemberton Square, Suite 2500, Boston, MA, 02108-1750; (617) 557-1030; SJCRreporter@sjc.state.ma.us

SJC-13095

PAULINE LESLIE vs. J. ALEXANDER BODKIN & others.¹

October 8, 2021.

Practice, Civil, Action in nature of certiorari.

Pauline Leslie appeals from a judgment of the county court denying, without a hearing, her petition for relief in the nature of certiorari. G. L. c. 249, § 4. In her petition, she sought review of various judgments and orders of the Superior Court and of the Appeals Court. The challenged orders and judgments, however, were all subject to review in the ordinary appellate process. Leslie has already received full appellate review of her claims. See Leslie v. Bodkin, 98 Mass. App. Ct. 1111, S.C., 486 Mass. 1108 (2020); Leslie v. Travelers Ins. Co., 96 Mass. App. Ct. 1105, S.C., 483 Mass. 1108 (2019); Leslie v. Travelers Ins. Co., 92 Mass. App. Ct. 1104, S.C., 478 Mass. 1104 (2017). "It would be hard to find any principle more fully established in our practice than the principle that neither mandamus nor certiorari is to be used as a substitute for ordinary appellate procedure or used at any time when there is another adequate remedy." Miranda v. Superior Court Dep't, 482 Mass. 1008, 1008 (2019), quoting Myrick v. Superior Court Dep't, 479 Mass. 1012, 1012 (2018). The single justice properly denied relief.

Judgment affirmed.

The case was submitted on briefs.

¹ John R. Barrett, Travelers Indemnity Company of Connecticut, and Kingstown Corporation.

Pauline Leslie, pro se.

John P. Graceffa & Brian A. Suslak for the respondents.